Interview Summary

Application No. 09/381,480	**Applicant(s)** Chee	
Examiner Arun Chakrabarti	**Group Art Unit** 1634	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Arun Chakrabarti_   (3) _____

(2) _Joe Liebeschuetz_   (4) _____

Date of Interview _____ _Mar 15, 2002_ _____

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic   b) ☐ Video Conference
       c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant   2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes   e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

_____
_____

Claim(s) discussed: _All_ _____

Identification of prior art discussed:
All _____
_____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant was explained the justification and appropriateness of the 103 (a) rejections. Applicant wanted to discuss this issue with Jeffrey Fredman., one of the primary examiners.

_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

    i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is
an Attachment to a signed Office action.